arXiv:1405.3475v2 [math.CO] 21 Oct 2014

On the smallest eigenvalues of the line graphs of some trees

Akihiro MUNEMASA

Graduate School of Information Sciences,
Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
E-mail address: munemasa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp

Yoshio SANO *

Division of Information Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Information and Systems,
University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8573, Japan
E-mail address: sano@cs.tsukuba.ac.jp

Tetsuji TANIGUCHI †

Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering,
Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima 731-5193, Japan
E-mail address: t.taniguchi.t3@cc.it-hiroshima.ac.jp

Abstract

In this paper, we study the characteristic polynomials of the line graphs of generalized Bethe trees. We give an infinite family of such graphs sharing the same smallest eigenvalue. Our family generalizes the family of coronas of complete graphs discovered by Cvetković and Stevanović.

Keywords: graph eigenvalue, line graph, tree, generalized Bethe tree.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C50, 05C76

*This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant number 25887007.
†This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant number 25400217.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. By an eigenvalue of a graph we mean an eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. It is well known that graphs whose smallest eigenvalue is greater than -2 are the line graphs of trees, or the line graphs of a unicyclic graph with an odd cycle, certain generalized line graphs of trees, or exceptional graphs arising from the root system E_8 (see [5]).

In this paper, we focus on the line graphs of trees and study the smallest eigenvalue of a particular type of such graphs. Our research is motivated by a question raised by Cvetković and Stevanović. In [4], it is shown that the sequence $\{\lambda_{\min}(K_n \otimes K_q)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is constant for a fixed integer q, where $\otimes$ denote the corona of graphs (see page 51 of [3] for a definition of corona and see also [6] for its generalization), and $\lambda_{\min}$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue. Cvetković and Stevanović raised the following question:

Question 1. Do there exist other sequences of the line graphs of trees whose smallest eigenvalues are constant?

In this paper, we give an answer for this question by giving a larger family of graph sequences of the line graphs of trees which have a constant smallest eigenvalue (Corollary 13).

For positive integers $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 \geq 2, \ldots, d_{k-1} \geq 2$, $d_k \geq 1$, we define a tree $B(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ to be a rooted tree with k levels in which every vertex at level j has degree d_{k-j+1}. Note that $K_n \otimes K_q$ is isomorphic to the line graph $L(B(1, q, n))$ of the tree $B(1, q, n)$. The tree $B(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ is called a *generalized Bethe tree* (see [8, 9]).

In the next section, we determine the characteristic polynomial of the line graph $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_k))$ of the tree $B(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ in a factored form, thereby showing that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_k))$ is independent of d_k (Theorem 9). We also show that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_k))$ has multiplicity $d_k - 1$, and is a zero of a polynomial of degree $k - 1$ (Theorem 12). The characteristic polynomial of $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_k))$ has also been determined by Rojo and Jiménez [8] using a different method, but our result gives more concrete information about the smallest eigenvalue.

2 The characteristic polynomial

We denote by $\chi_G(\lambda)$ the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of a graph G, that is, $\chi_G(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A(G))$.

Let G and H be rooted graphs with roots u and v, respectively. We denote by $G \cdot H$ the graph obtained from G and H by identifying the vertices u and v.

Lemma 2 (Schwenk [10, Corollary 2b]). *Let G and H be rooted graphs with roots u and v, respectively. Then*

$$\chi_{G\cdot H}(\lambda) = \chi_{G-u}(\lambda)\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_G(\lambda)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda) - \lambda\chi_{G-u}(\lambda)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda).$$

Lemma 3 (Schwenk [10, Theorem 5]). *Let G_0 be a graph of order p, and let H be a rooted graph with root v. Let G be the graph obtained by attaching H to each vertex u of G_0 by identifying u with v. Then*

$$\chi_G(\lambda) = \chi_{H-v}(\lambda)^p \chi_{G_0}\left(\frac{\chi_H(\lambda)}{\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)}\right).$$

Lemma 4. *Let H be a rooted graph with root v, and let $s \geq 2$ be an integer. Let G be the graph obtained by attaching H to each vertex u of the complete graph K_s by identifying u with v. Then*

$$\chi_G(\lambda) = (\chi_H(\lambda) - (s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))(\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_{H-v}(\lambda))^{s-1}, \tag{1}$$

and the smallest eigenvalue of G is the smallest zero of the polynomial $\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$. In particular, the smallest eigenvalue of G is independent of s.

Proof. Setting $G_0 = K_s$ in Lemma 3 gives (1). Let a and b denote the smallest zero of the polynomials $\chi_H(\lambda)$ and $\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$, respectively. Then by interlacing, we have $a \leq b$.

First consider the case where the number of vertices of H is odd. Then $\chi_H(\lambda)$ is a monic polynomial of odd degree, so $\chi_H(\lambda) < 0$ for $\lambda < a$. Since $\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$ is a monic polynomial of even degree and $a \leq b$, we have $\chi_{H-v}(\lambda) \geq 0$ for $\lambda < a$. Thus $\chi_H(\lambda) - (s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda) < 0$ for $\lambda < a$, implying that the smallest zero of the polynomial $\chi_H(\lambda) - (s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$ is at least a. On the other hand, since $\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$ is a monic polynomial of odd degree and $\chi_H(a) + \chi_{H-v}(a) = \chi_{H-v}(a) \geq 0$, the smallest zero of $\chi_H(a) + \chi_{H-v}(a)$ is at most a. This proves the assertion in this case.

The case where the number of vertices of H is even can be proved similarly. □

Remark 5. Note that if H is a complete graph K_t, then the graph G in Lemma 4 is the corona of K_s and K_{t-1}. The spectrum of the corona $G_1 \otimes G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is given in [1], under the assumption that G_2 is regular.

Remark 6. We remark that the statement of Lemma 4 cannot be strengthened to claim that the smallest eigenvalue of G is not a zero of the other factor $\chi_H(\lambda)-(s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$. Indeed, as pointed out in [7, Remark 9], if H is the line graph of the Dynkin diagram E_6, then choosing the vertex r appropriately, we can make χ_H and χ_{H-v} to have a common smallest zero. In this case, the smallest eigenvalue of G is a common zero of $\chi_H(\lambda)-(s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$ and $\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)$.

Lemma 7. *Let H be a rooted graph with root v, and let $s \geq 2$ be an integer. Let G be the graph obtained by attaching H to all vertices u of the complete graph K_s except one, by identifying u with v. Then*

$$\chi_G(\lambda) = (\lambda\chi_H(\lambda) - ((s-2)\lambda+(s-1))\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))(\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))^{s-2}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let u_0 be the vertex of K_s for which the graph H were not attached to construct G. Consider the graph G as a rooted graph with root u_0. Recall that $G \cdot H$ is the graph obtained from G and H by identifying u_0 and v. Since $G \cdot H$ is the same as the graph obtained by attaching H to each vertex u of the complete graph K_s by identifying u with v, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\chi_{G\cdot H}(\lambda) = (\chi_H(\lambda) - (s-1)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))(\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))^{s-1}.$$

Since $G - u_0$ is the graph obtained by attaching H to each vertex u of the complete graph K_{s-1} by identifying u with v, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\chi_{G-u_0}(\lambda) = (\chi_H(\lambda) - (s-2)\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))(\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H-v}(\lambda))^{s-2}.$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\chi_G(\lambda) = \frac{\chi_{G\cdot H}(\lambda) + (\lambda\chi_{H-v}(\lambda) - \chi_H(\lambda))\chi_{G-u_0}(\lambda)}{\chi_{H-v}(\lambda)}.$$

Now the lemma follows from the above three equations. □

Lemma 8. *Let $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 \geq 2, \ldots, d_k \geq 2$ be integers, where $k \geq 2$. Regard $H = L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}, 1))$ as a rooted graph whose root is the unique edge e incident with the root of $B(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}, 1)$, and set $H' = H - e$. Then H' is isomorphic to $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-2}, d_{k-1}-1))$, and the following statements hold.*

(i) *If $d_k \geq 2$, then*

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_k))}(\lambda) = (\chi_H(\lambda) - (d_k-1)\chi_{H'}(\lambda))(\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H'}(\lambda))^{d_k-1},$$

and the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_k))$ is independent of d_k.

(ii)

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k,1))}(\lambda) = (\lambda\chi_H(\lambda) - ((d_k-2)\lambda + (d_k-1))\chi_{H'}(\lambda)) \cdot (\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_{H'}(\lambda))^{d_k-2}.$$

Proof. Clearly, H' is isomorphic to $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-2},d_{k-1}-1))$.

(i) The graph $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k))$ is obtained by attaching H to each vertex u of the complete graph K_{d_k} by identifying u with e. The result follows from Lemma 4.

(ii) The graph $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k,1))$ is obtained by attaching H to all vertices u of the complete graph K_{d_k} except one, by identifying u with e. The result follows from Lemma 7. □

For the remainder of this section, we let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 \geq 2, \dots, d_{k-1} \geq 2$, $d_k \geq 1$ be integers. Set

$$\begin{aligned} m_l &= d_k \prod_{i=l+1}^{k-1} (d_i - 1) \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1), \\ m_k &= 1, \\ \sigma_l &= m_l - m_{l+1} \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1), \\ \sigma_k &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

By convention, we have $\sigma_{k-1} = d_k - 1$. We define polynomials $g_i(\lambda)$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, k)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\lambda) &= (\lambda + 2 - d_i)g_{i-1}(\lambda) - (d_i - 1)g_{i-2}(\lambda) \quad (i = 2, \dots, k-1), \\ g_k(\lambda) &= (\lambda + 2 - d_k)g_{k-1}(\lambda) - d_k g_{k-2}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

with seed values

$$g_0(\lambda) = 1, \qquad g_1(\lambda) = \lambda + 1.$$

We note that these polynomials are essentially same as the polynomials $P_j(\lambda)$ defined in [9] in the sense that $g_j(\lambda) = P_j(\lambda + 2)$. Also, it is easy to show by induction that $g_i + g_{i-1}$ is divisible by $\lambda + 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, so g_k is divisible by $\lambda + 2$.

The formula (3) in the following theorem is due to Rojo and Jiménez [8].

Theorem 9. *Let $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 \geq 2, \dots, d_{k-1} \geq 2$, $d_k \geq 1$ be integers, where $k \geq 2$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the line graph $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k))$ of the generalized Bethe tree $B(d_1,\dots,d_k)$ is*

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k))}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+2} \prod_{l=1}^{k} g_l(\lambda)^{\sigma_l}. \tag{3}$$

The smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k))$ depends only on $d_2,\dots,d_{k-1}$, and is independent of d_k, as long as $d_k \geq 2$.

Proof. We prove the formula (3) by induction on k. If $k = 2$, then the right-hand side is

$$(\lambda + 1 - d_2)(\lambda + 1)^{d_2-1}$$

which is $\chi_{K_{d_2}}(\lambda)$. Since $K_{d_2} = L(B(d_1, d_2))$, (3) holds.

Suppose $k \geq 3$ and that the assertion holds for $k-1$. We first establish the formula (3) for the case where $d_k = 1$. If $k = 3$, then $L(B(d_1, d_2, 1)) = K_{d_2}$, and (3) holds since $g_3 = (\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 1 - d_2)$. If $k \geq 4$, then regard $H = L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-2}, 1))$ as a rooted graph whose root is the unique edge e incident with the root of $B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-2}, 1)$, and set $H' = H - e$. Then by Lemma 8(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4)\qquad \chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-1},1))}(\lambda) &= (\lambda\chi_H(\lambda) - ((d_{k-1}-2)\lambda + (d_{k-1}-1))\chi_{H'}(\lambda)) \\ &\quad \cdot (\chi_H(\lambda) + \chi_{H'}(\lambda))^{d_{k-1}-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, H' is isomorphic to $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-3}, d_{k-2} - 1))$ by Lemma 8.

Let $\{g_l\}_{l=0}^{k}$, $\{\hat{g}_l\}_{l=0}^{k-1}$ and $\{\check{g}_l\}_{l=0}^{k-2}$ be the polynomials associated to the sequences $(d_1,\dots,d_{k-1}, 1)$, $(d_1,\dots,d_{k-2}, 1)$, and $(d_1,\dots,d_{k-3}, d_{k-2} - 1)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \hat{g}_0 = \check{g}_0 = 1, \\ g_1 &= \hat{g}_1 = \check{g}_1 = \lambda + 1, \\ &\vdots \\ g_{k-3} &= \hat{g}_{k-3} = \check{g}_{k-3}, \\ g_{k-2} &= \hat{g}_{k-2} = \check{g}_{k-2} - g_{k-3}, \\ g_{k-1} &= \hat{g}_{k-1} - (d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-2} - (d_{k-1}-2)g_{k-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_l &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=l+1}^{k-2}(d_i - 1) - \prod_{i=l+2}^{k-2}(d_i - 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq k-3, \\ 0 & \text{if } l = k-2, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_l}{d_{k-1}-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq k-3, \\ 0 & \text{if } l = k-2, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-1, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$\check{\sigma}_l = \begin{cases} (d_{k-2}-1)(\prod_{i=l+1}^{k-3}(d_i-1) - \prod_{i=l+2}^{k-3}(d_i-1)) & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-4, \\ d_{k-2}-2 & \text{if } l = k-3, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-2 \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_l}{d_{k-1}-1} & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-3, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-2. \end{cases}$$

By induction,

$$\chi_H(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+2}\prod_{l=1}^{k-1}\hat{g}_l^{\hat{\sigma}_l} \tag{5}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda+2}(g_{k-1} + (d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-2} + (d_{k-1}-2)g_{k-3})\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)},$$

(6)
$$\chi_{H'}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+2}\prod_{l=1}^{k-2}\check{g}_l^{\check{\sigma}_l}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda+2}(g_{k-2} + g_{k-3})\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda\chi_H(\lambda) - ((d_{k-1}-2)\lambda + (d_{k-1}-1))\chi_{H'}(\lambda) \\ &= (\lambda(g_{k-1} + (d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-2} + (d_{k-1}-2)g_{k-3}) \\ &\qquad - ((d_{k-1}-2)\lambda + (d_{k-1}-1))(g_{k-2}+g_{k-3}))\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= (\lambda g_{k-1} + (\lambda - d_{k-1}+1)g_{k-2} - (d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-3})\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= ((\lambda+1)g_{k-1} - g_{k-2})\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_H(\lambda)+\chi_{H'}(\lambda) &= (g_{k-1}+(d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-2}+(d_{k-1}-2)g_{k-3}+(g_{k-2}+g_{k-3})) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= (g_{k-1}+d_{k-1}g_{k-2}+(d_{k-1}-1)g_{k-3})\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= (\lambda+2)g_{k-2}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= g_{k-2}\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)},\end{aligned}$$

substitution of (5) and (6) into (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi_{L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_{k-1},1))} \\ &= ((\lambda+1)g_{k-1}-g_{k-2})\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}}{\lambda+2}\left(g_{k-2}\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l/(d_{k-1}-1)}\right)^{d_{k-1}-2} \\ &= ((\lambda+1)g_{k-1}-g_{k-2})g_{k-2}^{d_{k-1}-2}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-3} g_l^{\sigma_l}}{\lambda+2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda+2}((\lambda+1)g_{k-1}-g_{k-2})\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l}.\end{aligned}$$

This establishes (3) for the case $d_k = 1$.

Next we consider the case where $d_k \geq 2$. Let $\{g_l\}_{l=0}^{k}$, $\{\hat{g}_l\}_{l=0}^{k}$ and $\{\check{g}_l\}_{l=0}^{k-1}$ be the polynomials associated to the sequences $(d_1,\ldots,d_k)$, $(d_1,\ldots,d_{k-1},1)$, and $(d_1,\ldots,d_{k-2},d_{k-1}-1)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \hat{g}_0 = \check{g}_0 = 1, \\ g_1 &= \hat{g}_1 = \check{g}_1 = \lambda+1, \\ &\vdots \\ g_{k-2} &= \hat{g}_{k-2} = \check{g}_{k-2}, \\ g_{k-1} &= \hat{g}_{k-1} = \check{g}_{k-1} - g_{k-2}, \\ g_k &= \hat{g}_k - (d_k-1)(g_{k-1}+g_{k-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\hat{\sigma}_l = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=l+1}^{k-1}(d_i-1) - \prod_{i=l+2}^{k-1}(d_i-1) & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-2, \\ 0 & \text{if } l = k-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_l}{d_k} & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-2, \\ 0 & \text{if } l = k-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k, \end{cases}$$

$$\check{\sigma}_l = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=l+1}^{k-1}(d_i-1) - \prod_{i=l+2}^{k-1}(d_i-1) & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-2, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_l}{d_k} & \text{if } 0 \le l \le k-2, \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k-1. \end{cases}$$

By the first part,

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-1},1))}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+2}\prod_{l=1}^{k}\hat{g}_l^{\hat{\sigma}_l} \tag{7}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda+2}(g_k + (d_k-1)(g_{k-1}+g_{k-2}))\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l/d_k},$$

and by induction,

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-2},d_{k-1}-1))}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+2}\prod_{l=1}^{k-1}\check{g}_l^{\check{\sigma}_l} \tag{8}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda+2}(g_{k-1}+g_{k-2})\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l/d_k}.$$

Let $H = L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-1},1))$ and let H' be as in Lemma 8. Then substitution of (7) and (8) into the formula in Lemma 8(i) gives

$$\chi_{L(B(d_1,\dots,d_k))}(\lambda) = g_k(g_k + d_k(g_{k-1}+g_{k-2}))^{d_k-1}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l}}{(\lambda+2)^{d_k}}$$

$$= g_k((\lambda+2)g_{k-1})^{d_k-1}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l}}{(\lambda+2)^{d_k}}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{k} g_l^{\sigma_l}}{\lambda+2}.$$

Also, Lemma 8 implies that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1,\dots,d_{k-1},d_k))$ is independent of d_k. □

3 A polynomial having the smallest eigenvalue as a zero

Note that Lemma 4 implies that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_k))$ is the smallest zero of the polynomial

$$(9)\quad \chi_{L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_{k-1},1))}(\lambda)+\chi_{L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_{k-1}))}(\lambda) = (g_k+d_k(g_{k-1}+g_{k-2}))\prod_{l=1}^{k-2} g_l^{\sigma_l/d_k}.$$

It does not, however, tell which of the factors in the right-hand side of (9) contains the smallest eigenvalue as a zero. Our next task is to show that the smallest eigenvalue is a zero of $g_k + d_k(g_{k-1} + g_{k-2})$, but not a zero of g_l for $1 \le l \le k-1$.

Lemma 10. *For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, all the zeros of the polynomial $g_i(\lambda)$ are simple.*

Proof. By Favard's Theorem (see Theorem 4.4 on page 21 of [2]), $g_i(\lambda)$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$) are orthogonal polynomials. By Theorem 5.2 on page 27 of [2], the lemma holds. □

Lemma 11. *For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, let γ_i be the smallest zero of the polynomial $g_i(\lambda)$, and let β be the second smallest zero of $g_k(\lambda)$. Then $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \cdots > \gamma_k = -2$ and $\beta > \gamma_{k-1}$.*

Proof. We have already noted -2 is a zero of $g_k(\lambda)$. Since the smallest eigenvalue of the line graph of a tree is greater than -2, Theorem 9 implies $\gamma_k = -2 < \beta$. Now the inequalities follow from the separation theorem for the zeros (see Theorem 5.3 on page 28 of [2]). □

Theorem 12. *For integers $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 \ge 2, \ldots, d_k \ge 2$, the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_k))$ is the smallest zero of the polynomial $g_{k-1}(\lambda)$, which is not a zero of any of the polynomials*

$$\frac{g_k(\lambda)}{\lambda+2}, g_1(\lambda), \ldots, g_{k-2}(\lambda).$$

In particular, the multiplicity of the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1,\ldots,d_k))$ is $d_k - 1$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 9 and Lemma 11. By Theorem 9, the multiplicity of the smallest eigenvalue is $d_k - 1$, since g_{k-1} has only simple zeros by Lemma 10. □

Corollary 13. *Let $G_i = L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}, i))$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then the sequence $\{\lambda_{\min}(G_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is constant.*

Proof. Since g_{k-1} is independent of d_k, the corollary follows from Theorem 12. □

Remark 14. We note that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(B(d_1, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_k))$ does depend on d_{k-1}. Indeed, let $g_{k-1}^{(i)}(\lambda)$ be the $(k-1)$st polynomial associated with the sequence $d_1 = 1, d_2, \ldots, d_{k-1}^{(i)}, d_k$ where $d_{k-1}^{(1)} \neq d_{k-1}^{(2)}$. Suppose that γ is the common smallest zero of $g_{k-1}^{(i)}(\lambda)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since

$$(\gamma + 1)g_{k-2}(\gamma) - (d_{k-1}^{(i)} - 1)(g_{k-2}(\gamma) + g_{k-3}(\gamma)) = 0,$$

we have

$$(d_{k-1}^{(1)} - d_{k-1}^{(2)})(g_{k-2}(\gamma) + g_{k-3}(\gamma)) = 0.$$

Since $d_{k-1}^{(1)} \neq d_{k-1}^{(2)}$, we have $g_{k-2}(\gamma) + g_{k-3}(\gamma) = 0$, and hence $(\gamma+1)g_{k-2}(\gamma) = 0$. By Lemma 11, we have $g_{k-2}(\gamma) \neq 0$, while the same lemma also implies $\gamma < -1$ since -1 is the zero of $g_1(\lambda)$. This is a contradiction.

References

[1] S. Barik, S. Pati, and B. K. Sarma: The spectrum of the corona of two graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **21** (2007) 47–56.

[2] T. S. Chihara: *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978).

[3] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, I. Gutman and A. Torgašev: *Recent Results in the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Annals of Discrete Mathematics **36** (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988).

[4] D. Cvetković and D. Stevanović: Graphs with least eigenvalue at least $-\sqrt{3}$, *Institut Mathématique. Publications. Nouvelle Série* **73(87)** (2003) 39–51.

[5] M. Doob and D. Cvetković: On spectral characterizations and embeddings of graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **27** (1979) 17–26.

[6] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay: A new graph product and its spectrum, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* **18** (1978) 21–28.

[7] G. Greaves, J. Koolen, A. Munemasa, Y. Sano, and T. Taniguchi: Edge-signed graphs with smallest eigenvalue greater than -2, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, accepted.
http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2014.07.006

[8] O. Rojo and R. D. Jiménez: Line graph of combinations of generalized Bethe trees: eigenvalues and energy, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **435** (2011) 2402–2419.

[9] O. Rojo and R. Soto: The spectra of the adjacency matrix and Laplacian matrix for some balanced trees, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **403** (2005) 97–117.

[10] A. Schwenk: Computing the characteristic polynomial of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics (Proc. Capital Conf., George Washington Univ., Washington, D.C., 1973)*, pp. 153–172, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **406** (Springer, Berlin, 1974).